Loss to follow-up before and after delivery among women testing HIV positive during pregnancy in Johannesburg, South Africa
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Abstract

OBJECTIVE HIV-positive pregnant women are at heightened risk of becoming lost to follow-up (LTFU) from HIV care. We examined LTFU before and after delivery among pregnant women newly diagnosed with HIV.

METHODS Observational cohort study of all pregnant women \( \geq 18 \) years \( (N = 300) \) testing HIV positive for the first time at their first ANC visit between January and June 2010, at a primary healthcare clinic in Johannesburg, South Africa. Women \( (n = 27) \) whose delivery date could not be determined were excluded.

RESULTS Median (IQR) gestation at HIV testing was 26 weeks (21–30). Ninety-eight per cent received AZT prophylaxis, usually started at the first ANC visit. Of 139 (51.3%) patients who were ART eligible, 66.9% (95% CI 58.8–74.3%) initiated ART prior to delivery; median (IQR) ART duration pre-delivery was 9.5 weeks (5.1–14.2). Among ART-eligible patients, 40.5% (32.3–49.0%) were cumulatively retained through 6 months on ART. Of those ART-ineligible patients at HIV testing, only 22.6% (95% CI 15.9–30.6%) completed CD4 staging and returned for a repeat CD4 test after delivery. LTFU (1 month late for last scheduled visit) before delivery was 20.5% (95% CI 16.0–25.6%) and, among those still in care, 47.9% (95% CI 41.2–54.6%) within 6 months after delivery. Overall, 57.5% (95% CI 51.6–63.3%) were lost between HIV testing and 6 months post-delivery.

CONCLUSIONS Our findings highlight the challenge of continuity of care among HIV-positive pregnant women attending antenatal services, particularly those ineligible for ART.
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Introduction

South Africa has a national antenatal HIV prevalence of 30.2% (Republic of South Africa Department of Health 2010a) and more people living with HIV than any other country in the world (UNAIDS 2010). In an ongoing effort to improve care for pregnant women with HIV and to prevent mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT), South Africa’s 2010 HIV treatment guidelines called for lifelong ART to be initiated for all pregnant women with a CD4 value \( \leq 350 \) cells/\( \mu l \) (Republic of South Africa Department of Health 2010b) and PMTCT guidelines mandated AZT prophylaxis from 14 weeks of pregnancy (Republic of South Africa Department of Health 2010c). However, implementation of these guidelines remains inadequate, with pregnant women in South Africa commonly presenting for their first ANC visit well into their second trimester or later, delaying HIV diagnosis, AZT prophylaxis and lifelong ART initiation (Black et al. 2008; Hoffman et al. 2010; Stinson et al. 2010). In addition to late presentation for ANC services, some studies suggest that pregnant women have poorer retention in HIV care than men and non-pregnant women (Kaplan et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2011). Retention in HIV care is paramount, as HIV-positive patients require routine management and daily adherence to ART once initiated (Republic of South Africa Department of Health 2010d); mortality is high among patients who drop out...
of ART programmes (Brinkhof et al. 2009; Fox et al. 2010), and HIV/AIDS contributes to nearly half (43.7%) of maternal deaths in South Africa, far overshadowing deaths due to other obstetric complications such as haemorrhage or sepsis (National Committee on Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths 2008). An analysis of nearly 30 000 women initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in South Africa found loss was 54% higher in pregnant than in non-pregnant women (aHR 1.54; 95% CI 1.38–1.72), despite lower mortality (Myer et al. 2012a). The reasons for these differences are still being identified and may include lower rates of immunosuppression and a lack of perception of need for treatment (Wang et al. 2011; Solarin & Black 2012), heavier financial burden (Wang et al. 2011), travel or relocation during pregnancy (Hoffman et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Ferguson et al. 2012a) and stigma (Thorsen et al. 2008; Hoffman et al. 2010; Ferguson et al. 2012b). In South Africa, antenatal coverage is widespread and nearly all births occur in healthcare facilities (Shisana et al. 2009), but there is still great difficulty in ensuring an unbroken continuum of HIV care between antenatal care at a primary health clinic (PHC), labour and delivery at a hospital and between post-natal care and ongoing HIV care returning at the PHC.

To date, investigations of retention in HIV care among pregnant women have provided an estimate of loss to follow-up between specific milestones, such as HIV testing to ART initiation (Kaplan et al. 2008; Stinson et al. 2010; Ferguson et al. 2012a) or ART initiation to between 6 months and 3 years on treatment (Kaplan et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2011; Myer et al. 2012a), without evaluating the impact of delivery on loss. While studies from the United States demonstrate that ART adherence often declines in the post-partum period compared to during pregnancy (Turner et al. 2000; Ickovics et al. 2002; Tedaldi et al. 2002; Mellins et al. 2008), few studies have documented post-partum attendance in HIV care in sub-Saharan Africa in routine clinic settings (Naslé et al. 2009). We hypothesise that loss to follow-up may differ before and after delivery given that women’s motivations for seeking care may change during these time periods. This analysis of data from a cohort of newly diagnosed HIV-positive pregnant women examines loss to follow-up through early stages of HIV care to better understand how loss to follow-up is influenced by delivery.

Methods

This study was conducted at Witkoppen Health and Welfare Centre (‘Witkoppen’), a busy facility (8 500 clinic visits per month) providing primary healthcare services to formal and informal settlements in northern Johannesburg, South Africa. Between January and June 2010, 300 pregnant women (≥ 18 years) tested HIV positive for the first time at their first ANC visit at Witkoppen. We conducted a retrospective cohort study through file review to assess attrition from pre-ART and on-ART care up to a minimum of 12 months after testing HIV positive.

HIV Care

At Witkoppen, HIV care is integrated with antenatal care for pregnant women, with assessment for and initiation of ART occurring within ANC, and all documentation contained within a single clinic file per patient. In early 2010, Witkoppen began initiating all adult patients with CD4 ≤ 350 cells/µl onto ART, 1 year prior to national guidelines calling for this approach. We confirmed ART eligibility at the time of HIV testing for each subject through file review. During the time when the study was conducted, CD4 testing was initiated at the same facility immediately following an HIV-positive test result, with a visit scheduled 2 weeks later to receive the CD4 results. For ART-eligible patients, an ART initiation visit was scheduled 2–4 weeks after receiving the CD4 results. Patients who wish to transfer facilities receive a referral letter, and the file is noted accordingly.

Antenatal and post-natal care

Witkoppen offers antenatal and post-natal care, HIV testing and treatment on-site, as well as other primary healthcare and social welfare services. HIV testing at Witkoppen occurs during a woman’s first ANC visit, and all HIV-positive pregnant women are given twice-daily zidovudine (AZT) starting at 14 weeks of gestation, as called for in PMTCT guidelines (Republic of South Africa Department of Health 2010c). All women who receive antenatal care at Witkoppen are designated to deliver at Hillbrow Hospital in central Johannesburg (32.5 km from Witkoppen) or, if considered high-risk, at Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital (28.9 km). At the hospital, women not yet on lifelong ART are given intrapartum single-dose nevirapine and three-hourly AZT, as well as post-partum single-dose tenofovir with emtricitabine for PMTCT. Newborns also start a 6-week course of nevirapine syrup from birth (Republic of South Africa Department of Health 2010c). The hospitals do not routinely report delivery information back to Witkoppen. After delivery, ANC patients return for post-natal care and HIV treatment services at Witkoppen for 10 weeks and then resume general adult care, all within
Mothers and infants make three post-natal care visits together: 3–7 days after delivery; 6 weeks after delivery for an infant polymerase chain reaction (PCR) HIV test; and 10 weeks after delivery for infant HIV PCR results. Women previously ineligible for ART should have a repeat CD4 at the 6-week post-partum visit.

Timing of delivery

Data on gestational age at HIV testing based on last menstrual period were available in most (88.3%) patient files, while date of delivery was available in patient files for 39.7% of women. Thus, we determined a delivery date for 91% of women using either the actual date or an estimation assuming 40 weeks of gestation. Women with no calculated delivery date \( (n = 27, 9.0\%) \) were excluded, leaving an analytic sample size of 273.

Statistical methods

Patient characteristics are described using proportions and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for categorical variables and medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) for continuous variables. We examine retention among pregnant women in two ways. First, we report retention through several stages of pre- and post-ART care within the antenatal and post-natal periods using counts and proportions with 95% CI. The first stage for all patients is completing CD4 staging, including results notification, prior to delivery. For the ART eligible, subsequent stages include ART initiation before or after delivery, completing a clinic visit after delivery and 6 months retention on ART. For ART-ineligible patients, subsequent stages include returning for a clinic visit after delivery and receiving a repeat CD4 test after delivery. A diagram of these stages is presented in Figure 1. Retention is defined as completing each stage at Witkoppen and is determined using clinic visit data. Patients who transfer are noted and removed from the analysis at the start of the stage in which they transferred. Cumulative retention analyses exclude patients who transferred during the antenatal \( (n = 6) \) and post-natal \( (n = 10) \) periods.

Second, we use time-to-event analysis to assess loss to follow-up before and after delivery by estimating person-time in the antenatal and post-natal periods. Loss to follow-up is defined as not returning to the clinic within a minimum of 1 month after the last scheduled visit. For the antenatal period, person-time began accruing at testing HIV positive and ended at the first of the following three events: (i) delivery, (ii) loss to follow-up or (iii) transfer to another facility prior to delivery. For women remaining in care at the beginning of the post-natal period, person-time began accruing at delivery and ended at the earliest of: (i) 6 months after delivery; (ii) loss to follow-up; or (iii) transfer after delivery. No deaths were reported during the antenatal and post-natal time periods studied, so these represent all possible known outcomes. After confirming proportional hazards using Schoenfeld residuals, we examined predictors of loss within the antenatal and post-natal periods using Cox proportional hazard regression, adjusting for covariates based on prior

*Two patients with missing initial CD4 values who did not complete CD4 staging were excluded from this figure.

1. Completion of each stage is dependent on successful completion of the prior stage.
2. Numbers in small shaded boxes represent the number of patients transferred at that time point.

Figure 1 Patient retention before and after delivery among 271* recently diagnosed HIV positive ANC patients.
knowledge and change-in-estimate evaluation, and report adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) and 95% CI.

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand, and exemption was given by the Public Health-Nursing IRB at the Office of Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Results

Clinical and demographic characteristics at HIV testing of the 273 pregnant women are summarised in Table 1. The median (IQR) age at the first ANC visit was 27 years (24–31), and the median CD4 count at the time of HIV testing was 357 cells/µl (238–500). Overall, almost half of the cohort (44.7%) was born outside of South Africa, most notably in Zimbabwe (89.3% of those foreign born).

The median (IQR) gestational age at first ANC visit was 26 weeks (21–30), and the median (IQR) time from HIV testing to delivery was 3.1 months (2.1–4.1). AZT monotherapy as part of PMTCT was almost universally implemented. During pregnancy, 98.0% received at least one 30-day supply of AZT, all but one of whom (99.7%) started AZT on the day of testing HIV positive.

Retention during antenatal care

Figure 1 shows retention of pregnant women through early HIV care, contingent on completing earlier stages. Roughly half of all women were ART eligible at the time of testing; median (IQR) CD4 at HIV testing was 244 cells/µl (171–299) among ART eligible and 500 cells/µl (420–599) among ART-ineligible women. Completing CD4 staging prior to delivery was high at 84.9% (95% CI: 80.2–88.8%) overall and varied little by ART eligibility (87.1% vs. 82.7%). Of those who were ART eligible and who completed CD4 staging prior to delivery (n = 115), most (80.9%; 95% CI: 72.9–87.3%) went on to initiate lifelong ART prior to delivery. These women spent a median (IQR) of 27 days (17–41.5) from HIV testing to ART initiation and a median (IQR) 9.5 weeks (5.1–14.2) on ART prior to delivery. Of the remaining 22 ART-eligible women who completed CD4 staging but did not initiate ART prior to delivery, most (n = 18, 81.8%) never returned for ART initiation, one returned but left before initiation, one required further counselling, one refused ART and one completed the initiation visit but was rescheduled to return for initiation a week later and did not return. Of all 139 ART-eligible women, 66.9% (95% CI: 58.8–74.3%) initiated treatment prior to delivery.

Retention after delivery

The proportion of patients returning for at least one visit after delivery was highest among those who were eligible for ART and initiated treatment prior to delivery (n = 90) at 76.7% (95% CI: 67.1–84.5%) with most of these women (82.8%; 95% CI: 72.1–90.6%) retained in ART care for at least 6 months. Although the numbers of women who completed CD4 staging and were eligible for but did not initiate ART were small (n = 22), only 31.8% (95% CI: 15.1–53.1%) returned at any point after delivery, and less than half of those who did (42.9%; 95% CI: 12.3–78.4%) initiated ART within 6 months of delivery. The picture was much different for those ineligible for ART at the time of testing positive. Among those ART ineligible who completed CD4 staging (n = 112), less than half (49.1%, 95% CI: 39.9–58.3%) returned for any visits after delivery. Of these 50 women, only half (52.0%, 95% CI: 38.2–65.5%) received a repeat CD4 count after delivery.

Table 1 Characteristics of the study participants (N = 273)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristic</th>
<th>Continuous variables, median (IQR)</th>
<th>Categorical variables, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at HIV testing</td>
<td>27 (24–31)</td>
<td>Missing 9 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First CD4 value (cells/µl)</td>
<td>357 (238–500)</td>
<td>Not employed 167 (61.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks of gestation at first ANC visit</td>
<td>26 (21–30)</td>
<td>Employed 97 (35.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td></td>
<td>Born outside of South Africa 122 (44.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Born in South Africa 143 (52.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missing 8 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td>Employed 97 (35.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not employed 167 (61.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Missing 9 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation at first ANC visit</td>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 20 weeks 59 (21.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;20 weeks 214 (78.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range.
Fig. 2 Cumulative patient retention from HIV diagnosis through 6 months on ART for 131 HIV positive ANC patients eligible for ART and through repeat CD4 testing after delivery for 123 HIV-positive ANC patients ineligible for ART.

### Cumulative retention in HIV care

Figure 2 shows cumulative retention for ART-eligible women from HIV testing through delivery and 6 months on ART as well as for ART-ineligible women through a post-delivery repeat CD4 count. Cumulatively, less than half (40.5%, 95% CI: 32.3–49.0%) of ART-eligible patients who tested HIV positive during pregnancy...
completed CD4 staging, initiated ART prior to delivery, returned after delivery and completed 6 months on ART. Cumulative retention was even lower among those ineligible for ART at the time of HIV testing: only 22.6% (95% CI: 15.9–30.6%) of patients ineligible for ART continued in care to the point of a repeat CD4 count after delivery.

The median (IQR) person-time contributed in the antenatal period was 2.8 months (1.7–3.9) and 4.5 months (1.5–6.0) in the post-natal period. Using time-to-event analysis and analysing all women regardless of ART eligibility at the time of testing, 20.5% (95% CI: 16.0–25.6%) of women were lost to follow-up prior to delivery. Among women in care at delivery, 47.9% (95% CI: 41.2–54.6%) were lost within 6 months after delivery, for a cumulative loss to follow-up of 57.5% (95% CI: 51.6–63.3%).

Table 2 presents the results of multivariate analysis of factors associated with loss to follow-up among 273 newly diagnosed HIV-positive antenatal patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at HIV testing</th>
<th>Antenatal care period (n = 273)</th>
<th>Postnatal care period (n = 211)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)*</td>
<td>Crude HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–24 years</td>
<td>19 (24.4)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.66, 2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29 years</td>
<td>18 (18.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 years and older</td>
<td>19 (19.6)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.54, 1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First CD4 value</td>
<td>&lt;200 cells/mm³</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200–350 cells/mm³</td>
<td>16 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;350 cells/mm³</td>
<td>28 (20.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td>Born in South Africa</td>
<td>31 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Born outside of South Africa</td>
<td>25 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First ANC visit &gt;20 weeks gestation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>14 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>42 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.
Loss to follow-up (LTFU) is defined as not returning to the clinic within ≥1 month after the last scheduled visit; antenatal care period is defined as HIV testing date to delivery date; Post-natal care period is defined as delivery date to 6 months after delivery.
Adjusted models are adjusted for all variables listed.
*Proportion LTFU during the period for each category listed.

Discussion
Pregnant women diagnosed with HIV during antenatal care require effective care interventions to ensure the prompt initiation of PMTCT and ART, but also must be linked to HIV care that is sustainable beyond the point of delivery. We found that overall loss to follow-up within 13 months of HIV testing among pregnant women recently diagnosed with HIV was staggeringly high at 57.5% (95% CI: 51.6–63.3%). Unlike studies that begin reporting loss to follow-up at ART initiation, our findings span the period from testing HIV positive through both the pre-ART and early post-ART periods, periods of high attrition. Patients who initiate ART have already successfully been retained through several visits and thus may be more inclined to continue in care. Few reports exist of retention of all patients – both ART eligible and ineligible. Our loss to follow-up proportion, which includes this early loss, is much higher than the 12%-32% among
pregnant women after 6 months to 3 years on ART reported elsewhere, which only focuses on the on-treatment period (Kaplan et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2011; Myer et al. 2012a).

Our analysis also suggests that the focus on cumulative loss to follow-up among pregnant women is missing important trends over time with implications for when to intervene. Loss to follow-up prior to delivery was much lower than after delivery (20.5% vs. 47.9%). The antenatal period is a time of reasonable compliance with HIV care, likely because women are already attending care for another reason for which they have motivation to continue. Our results suggest that many women are not returning to the PHC after delivery. Among HIV-positive pregnant women, the challenge is ensuring HIV care extends beyond the period of pregnancy and continues for the lifetime of the mother. In South Africa, infants are to accompany their mothers to the first three postnatal care visits, so failure to attend these visits also suggests a failure to link infants to post-natal care, including PCR testing for HIV and immunisations.

In addition to a high rate of loss to follow-up, we also found that pregnant women presented for their first ANC visit and HIV testing well after the recommended gestational age of 14 weeks for AZT initiation. The median gestational age at HIV testing of 26 weeks in our study matched that found by Stinson et al. (2010) and represents delayed PMTCT efforts. Half of the pregnant patients in our cohort were ART eligible at their first ANC visit, yet despite the overall trend of late presentation, 66.9% (95% CI: 58.8–74.3%) of those eligible initiated ART prior to delivery. The median time from first visit to ART initiation of 27 days indicates that patients are being initiated promptly after the first visit, but as the median time on ART is 9.5 weeks, they are not presenting early enough to obtain maximal effectiveness of ART for PMTCT, which is reached around 13–15 weeks of duration prior to delivery (Patel et al. 2007; Chibwesha et al. 2011). The median duration on ART prior to delivery in our study is consistent with findings of 7.6–10.7 weeks in other studies in South Africa (Black et al. 2008; Fitzgerald et al. 2010; Hoffman et al. 2010; Stinson et al. 2010). Additionally, nearly one-third (31.1%, 95% CI 25.7–41.2%) of ART-eligible women should have initiated before delivery but did not. Recognition of the problem of late presentation to ANC care and its impact on delayed ART initiation, as well as poor linkage to care prior to ART, has spurred interest in rapid or same-day ART initiation among pregnant women using point-of-care CD4 testing (Myer et al. 2012b).

South African ANC patients receive a government-mandated ANC card at their first ANC visit and must present it at the hospital labour ward prior to delivery. There has been speculation that women only attend one ANC visit to get this card but do not return for additional care. Our data do not support this idea. Among pregnant women in our cohort, 15.1% failed to complete CD4 notification (i.e. attended only one clinic visit), which is half the proportion (30.2%) of non-pregnant adults who did not complete CD4 staging during this same period (Clouse et al. 2013). Our finding of sufficient linkage to care among pregnant women is consistent with that of Kranzer et al. (2010), who found CD4 completion was highest among antenatal care patients in Cape Town when compared to patients of other clinic services. This suggests that many women are making repeat visits while pregnant but many then stop after delivery.

In our study, women who presented late for their first ANC visit (>20 weeks of gestation) were twice as likely to be lost prior to delivery (aHR 2.00, 95% CI 1.00–4.02), underscoring the difficulty of retaining patients who are initially slow to seek care. Three South Africa-based studies identified fear of HIV testing/diagnosis, confusion over pregnancy status, transport limitations, lack of perceived benefit and clinic booking delays as common reasons for late presentation among ANC patients (Myer & Harrison 2003; Laher et al. 2012; Solarin & Black 2012), highlighting the multilevel barriers that can prevent timely access to and retention in care among pregnant women. Patients with higher CD4 counts (>350 cells/μl) who were ineligible for ART were more likely to be lost post-partum (aHR 3.30; 95% CI 1.95–5.58), emphasising the importance of retention during pre-ART care. South Africa’s PMTCT guidelines call for repeat CD4 testing after delivery, but only 22.6% of ART-ineligible women received a repeat CD4 count. Witkoppen has a very high proportion of patients born outside of South Africa, as is now common in PHCs throughout Johannesburg (City of Johannesburg 2011; Faal et al. 2011). These patients may be at greater risk of loss to follow-up after delivery (aHR 1.36; 95% CI 0.90–2.06), likely because of frequent mobility among this group.

Our findings must be considered in the light of the study’s limitations. First, we do not know why women ceased care at Witkoppen. Under half (45.2%) of the women who were lost received at least one follow-up phone call. We reviewed the files of 84 pregnant patients who were lost to follow-up whom the clinic had made at least one attempt to contact. Over half (52.3%) were unreachable because their cell phone was off or out of service, and 20.2% were found to have moved out of the area, usually outside Johannesburg’s Gauteng Province.
This may indicate that women return to rural homes (Hoffman et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Ferguson et al. 2012a) – whether in South Africa or in a neighbouring country – either immediately prior to or after birth. While migration around delivery is typically short term, it disrupts the continuity of care, which likely impairs adherence to ART. Further research is needed to better understand pregnancy-associated migration and its impact on maternal and infant health.

Second, we do not know whether patients who drop out of care at Witkoppen continue in care at other facilities, perhaps in a rural facility or outside South Africa, except for those who request a formal transfer. While this is a limitation shared by most studies of loss to follow-up, it is important to note that loss to follow-up in this study reflects ceasing care at one clinic only, but one that was selected for study due to close integration of antenatal care, post-natal care and HIV services. We also cannot link to hospital data to confirm delivery nor do we have data on infant clinic visits or outcomes. More research is needed into ways to improve linkages across treatment sites and ways to improve patient movement between sites (e.g. providing sufficient supply of antiretrovirals).

Finally, our study data, which were collected retrospectively, were limited to those routinely collected in the patient files, but with very few missing data. Our findings regarding timing of first ANC visit and linkage to care among ANC patients are consistent with previously reported studies from other regions of South Africa (Kranzer et al. 2010; Stinson et al. 2010), suggesting that our results are generalisable to other clinics throughout South Africa.

Further research that examines pregnant women’s reasons for dropping out of care, interventions to reduce ante- and post-natal attrition, and follow-up studies to confirm linkages to care among new mothers, is needed urgently. Case management interventions to improve linkages to HIV care have demonstrated success in the United States (Gardner et al. 2005; Craw et al. 2008), and research on implementation of this type of intervention in the southern African setting is warranted.

Our study highlights much room for improvement in the provision of HIV care of pregnant women. We found that pregnant women presented late for their first ANC visit, initiated ART too late to achieve maximal PMTCT effectiveness and had high rates of drop out around the time of delivery. Pregnant women likely have different motivation for attending healthcare services than non-pregnant adults and, likewise, have different reasons why they may become lost. Efforts must be increased to better understand the intentions of pregnant women for seeking healthcare after delivery, understanding their motivations for ceasing HIV care and improve linkages to care after delivery.
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